

Montrouge, 12/20/2019

Angelo Russo

You will find below the electronic reprint of your article (pdf format):

The localizing value of epileptic auras: pitfalls in semiology and involved networks

published in:

Epileptic Disorders, 2019, Volume 21, Numéro 6

John Libbey Eurotext

Seminar in Epileptology

Epileptic Disord 2019; 21 (6): 519-28

The localizing value of epileptic auras: pitfalls in semiology and involved networks

Angelo Russo¹, Anca Adriana Arbune², Lalit Bansal³, Ioanna Mindruta², Giuseppe Gobbi¹, Michael Duchowny^{4,5}

¹ IRCCS, Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, UOC Neuropsichiatria Infantile, Bologna, Italy

² University Emergency Hospital Bucharest; "Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

³ Division of Neurology, Children's Mercy Hospital, University of Missouri Kansas City, Kansas City, USA

⁴ Department of Neurology and Comprehensive Epilepsy Program, Brain Institute, Nicklaus Children's Hospital, Miami, USA

⁵ Department of Neurology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, USA

Received December 23, 2018; Accepted September 23, 2019

ABSTRACT – A more complete understanding of the epileptic aura represents an important challenge for localizing the epileptogenic zone and understanding brain networks. This review re-visits the localizing value of the epileptic aura, focusing on clinical pitfalls in epileptogenic zone detection and the importance of defining functional connectivity. We review the role of network node activation or alteration and its relationship to hub activation.

Key words: aura, networks, semiology, epileptogenic zone

An aura is usually considered to be the onset of a seizure occurring before the alteration or loss of awareness, but should be distinguished from a premonitory or prodromal sensation because the auras occur at seizure onset, while prodromal experiences occur significantly before the seizure, usually at least 30 minutes. Moreover, excluding motor symptoms, auras are often restricted to subjective experiences (Spencer, 2015). Since the specific experience of the aura is largely determined by the locus of seizure onset and seizure freedom requires

complete removal or disconnection of the epileptogenic zone (EZ), it is important to recognize pitfalls in aura semiology and understand aura networks to improve seizure focus localization. Although multiple diagnostic modalities are available, the precise formulation of seizure semiology continues to be a daunting clinical challenge and an important source of surgical failure (Lesser et al., 1984; So, 2006; Carreno and Lüders, 2008). The EZ is conceptualized as a discrete hub of abnormal electrographic seizure activity, but in reality the clinical spectrum of

Angelo Russo Department of Pediatric Neurology, IRCCS - Institute of Neurological Sciences of Bologna, via Altura 3, Bologna, Italy <russo.neuroped@gmail.com> an epileptic seizure often reflects more widespread network dysfunction. As seizures propagate along preferential neural pathways, they activate defined networks and generate clinical symptoms that can be either significant or non-markers of the EZ (lannotti *et al.*, 2016).

The epileptic aura typically occurs at seizure onset and therefore is regarded as particularly important anatomically and a singularly accurate marker of the EZ. However, this is not always the case as the EZ will remain undetected if an aura is preceded by seizure onset in a clinically silent brain region, or by too rapid electrical seizure propagation. Thus, an appropriate level of caution must be exercised before assigning definitive localizing value to any aura during the pre-surgical evaluation (Kellinghaus and Lüders, 2008; Tufenkjian and Lüders, 2012; Perven and So, 2015). These constraints underscore the importance of carefully correlating the clinical semiology of an aura through an understanding functional brain organization and the networks involved in epileptogenesis.

The localizing value and pitfalls of the epileptic aura

Visual and auditory auras

Visual auras include both simple and complex manifestations. In general, simple visual auras result from activation of primary visual cortex (Appel et al., 2015), while complex visual auras more likely reflect activation of association cortex in the temporooccipital junction (Fried et al., 1995; Bien et al., 2000). In contrast, both simple and complex auditory auras remain confined to the lateral superior temporal lobe. Although the visual aura has important localizing power, complex visual auras often have widespread representation and can be elicited by activating basal as well as convexity temporal neocortex (Gupta et al., 1983). Complex visual hallucinations and visual illusions have also been described in seizures of frontal lobe seizure origin (Fornazzari et al., 1992; Beauvais et al., 2005; La Vega-Talbot et al., 2006; Ye et al., 2012), likely due to the extension of the ventral and dorsal visual stream into the prefrontal cortex (Wilson et al., 1993; O Scalaidhe et al., 1997; Ungerleider et al., 1998).

In contrast to visual sensations, auditory auras are decidedly less common (with an incidence of 1.3-1.9%) but also have variable localization (Penfield and Kristiansen, 1951). Simple unilateral auditory hallucinations including buzzing and ringing are well-localized to the temporal auditory cortex (Florindo *et al.*, 2006) whereas complex hallucinations (music or voices) and positive illusions (increased loudness or altered frequency) reportedly arise in both the ipsilateral and contralateral cerebral hemispheres (Loddenkemper and Kotagal, 2005). Interestingly, negative illusions (auditory agnosia or hypoacusia) are associated exclusively with a dominant hemisphere EZ (Clarke *et al.*, 2003, Florindo *et al.*, 2006).

Vertiginous auras are often linked to visual or auditory symptoms (Richer *et al.*, 1991) as they arise in the temporo-parietal junction in proximity to visual and auditory association areas. Direct cortical stimulation reveals extension of the vertiginous EZ above and below the Sylvian fissure, including the parietal operculum and the middle and posterior portions of the superior and middle temporal gyri (Kahane *et al.*, 2003).

Olfactory and gustatory auras

Olfactory and gustatory auras are often linked together clinically making it difficult to differentiate them. The association of olfactory and gustatory auras is considered the defining feature of "uncinate fits", but their localizing significance is not absolute. While olfactory auras are observed in seizures arising from the mesial temporal and occipital lobes (Palmini and Gloor, 1992; Acharya *et al.*, 1998; Russo *et al.*, 2016), olfactory sensations are also produced by stimulating the olfactory bulb, insular cortex, amygdala (attention to olfactory auras in case of amygdala neoplasm) and the posterior orbitofrontal region (Andy, 1967; Bancaud and Talairach, 1992).

Olfactory illusions hold special significance for tumor patients as they may arise in auditory association cortex and also propagate to connected areas including the insula and inferior frontal operculum (Isnard *et al.*, 2004).

The risk of misidentification of the EZ is even greater for gustatory auras. Taken alone, the gustatory aura has little lateralizing or localizing value as stimulation of rolandic cortex, parietal operculum, insular cortex and mesiobasal temporal regions all generate gustatory hallucinations (Bancaud and Talairach, 1992; Russo *et al.*, 2016). It has been proposed that gustatory hallucinations result from ictal spread to the operculum by "functional reorganization of connections within these epileptogenic areas" (Hausser-Hauw and Bancaud, 1987; Ostrowsky *et al.*, 2000).

Somatosensory aura

Somatosensory auras have specific localizing and lateralizing value through their direct correlation with the somatosensory homunculus. Sensory auras occurring distally and unilaterally signify an EZ in primary sensory cortex, while bilateral and more widespread symptoms are often produced through activation of the second somatosensory area (Pugnaghi *et al.*, 2011), which also generates ipsilateral sensory symptoms including feelings of pain or warmth (Stephani *et al.*, 2011; Montavont *et al.*, 2015).

Primary sensory symptoms (numbress and tingling) should be differentiated from complex symptoms including painful sensations (burning, pricking or muscle tearing), shivering and goosebumps. ECoG recordings of painful somatosensory auras localize the EZ to the posterior insula and secondary somatosensory cortex (Montavont et al., 2015), whereas autonomic auras including shivering and goosebumps originate predominantly from the dominant left temporal lobe (amygdala) (Stefan et al., 2002). Similarly, primary somatosensory auras of a supernumerary phantom limb (SPL) and missing limb arise from cortical areas outside primary sensory cortex (Millonig et al., 2011). SPL has also been reported from the temporo-parietal junction while a missing limb sensation arises from the right parietal region (Mauguiere and Courjon, 1978).

Focal somatic auras of pharyngeal or laryngeal dysesthesias usually indicate activation of posterior insular cortex with early spread to the temporal and parietal operculum (Isnard *et al.*, 2004). These auras have rarely been reported to arise from mesial temporal cortex (Carmant *et al.*, 1996) suggesting that these symptoms are produced through activation of insular-perisylvian networks with an 'entry gate' (insulo-operculum or operculum-insular) (Carmant *et al.*, 1996).

Despite their high localizing value, somatosensory cortical stimulation studies reveal that somatosensory auras may overlap with symptoms arising in insular cortex and the supplementary sensorimotor area (Lim *et al.*, 1994; Salanova *et al.*, 1995; Beauvais *et al.*, 2005). False interpretation of somatosensory auras may occur due to imperceptible muscle contractions after motor cortex stimulation (Bancaud *et al.*, 1994). Reduced localizing and lateralizing value of sensations affecting the entire body has also been observed (Bartolomei *et al.*, 2004). Somatosensory auras associated with temporal lobe epilepsy may result from secondary spread from the parietal lobe or posterior temporal region.

Psychic auras

Psychic auras are decidedly les common and most likely involve a complex network that connects the temporal neocortex (visual hallucinations) to limbic structures (dreamy state) (Gloor *et al.*, 1982; Gloor, 1990). The psychic aura may also require theta band synchronization within mesial temporal structures participating in a widespread network involving primary visual and associated cortices (Barbeau *et al.*, 2005; Vignal *et al.*, 2007). Unlike the abovementioned networks, SEEG and SPECT studies suggest that ictal fear results from network imbalance involving the amygdala and the temporal pole that includes projections to orbitofrontal, anterior cingulate and medial frontal cortex (Gloor, 1990; Biraben *et al.*, 2001; Bartolomei *et al.*, 2004; Barbeau *et al.*, 2005).

Autonomic aura

The urge to urinate, shortness of breath, sialorrhea, lacrimation, vomiting, retching, flushing, palpitation, goosebumps, generalized cold or warm feelings are different types of autonomic auras produced by activating not only the insular cortex and temporal lobe, but also the anterior cingulum, frontal lobe, supplementary sensorimotor area, postcentral parasagittal region and amygdala (Remillard *et al.*, 1983; Calleja *et al.*, 1988; Fish *et al.*, 1993; Kotagal *et al.*, 1995; Henkel *et al.*, 2002). Inconclusive localizing value of autonomic auras is therefore to be expected. Network studies indicate that the amygdala and hippocampus are important hub regions and that secondary activation occurs preferentially to insular and medial frontal regions (Calleja *et al.*, 1988).

Sexual auras

Sexual auras are erotic feelings and pleasurable genital sensations that are mediated predominantly in the temporal lobe (Remillard *et al.*, 1983; Baird *et al.*, 2007), whereas sexual automatisms constitute the motor components of sexual behavior that arise from orbitofrontal regions and should not be confused with sensory auras.

Sexual auras must also be differentiated from unpleasant superficial genital sensations that lack sexual content (Remillard *et al.*, 1983). These sensations can be produced by stimulating primary somatosensory cortex at the parasagittal convexity, interhemispheric fissure or possibly the perisylvian region (Bancaud *et al.*, 1970).

Multiple auras

Extra caution should be exercised in the interpretation of multiple auras, which were reported by 6% of 1,897 patients with epilepsy. These phenomena are purportedly associated with multifocal epilepsy, or activation of a neural network with access to more than one functional region (Nakken *et al.*, 2009). Most importantly, multiple auras can either accompany different seizures or occur during the same seizure, either simultaneously or sequentially. Multiple auras may originate in a single EZ, usually in the insular or temporal lobe or in posterior quadrant foci, resulting from either sequential or simultaneous activation of multiple symptomatogenic zones. Lastly, they may also occur in multifocal epilepsy and must be differentiated from a focal seizure network with secondary propagation leading to different auras. Multiple auras may also represent distinctive sensory disturbances propagating along different pathways from a single focus (Gloor, 1990; Widdess-Walsh *et al.*, 2007).

Focal seizures with preserved awareness *versus* auras

By definition, all focal seizures with preserved awareness are focal electro-clinical seizures that lack impaired awareness. Auras are a specific subset of focal seizures with preserved awareness characterized by a sensory state that reflects specific sensory alteration or altered perceptual awareness (e.g. distortion of sight, sound or smell, confusion, unpleasant emotions, dizziness or déjà vu feelings) (Ferrari-Marinho, 2012). An aura is therefore highly internalized and generates no observable change; it will only be discovered through careful history taking. In contrast, focal seizures with preserved awareness consisting of circumscribed motor phenomena are not strictly considered as auras as they generate outwardly observable manifestations that can be recorded by others (Taylor and Lochery, 1987). Auras provide an important warning that may prevent self-injury or injury to others. Most auras originate from posterior neocortex or deeper brain regions (mesial temporal, inferior frontal, etc.). As reviewed in figure 1, different brain regions that induce similar auras due to early spread will reduce their lateralizing and localizing value. Fortunately, focal seizures with preserved awareness most often present as focal seizures localized to one anatomical location.

Interictal experiential phenomena versus true epileptic auras

Experiential phenomena are complex psychic phenomena observed primarily in adults with temporal lobe or limbic epilepsy, and to differentiate them from the true epileptic auras would avoid localization errors. They are distinct from epileptic auras or focal-onset seizures arising in the posterior neocortex (Scheffer *et al.*, 2017). Experiential phenomena create a compelling immediacy that is similar to or even more vivid than real life (Scheffer *et al.*, 2017). They may present as illusions, complex (auditoryvisual) hallucinations, fear or a *déjà vu* feeling; most are fragmented and lack detail (Gloor, 1990; Scheffer *et al.*, 2017). Psychic phenomena can be elicited by direct cortical stimulation of the limbic structures - amygdala, hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus and temporal neocortex (Gloor, 1990; Scheffer et al., 2017). PET brain imaging in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy and déjà vu reveals focal hypometabolism in parahippocampal regions suggesting a strong association with parahippocampal dysfunction (Guedj et al., 2010). Interestingly, no ictal after-discharges were noted during stimulation of these areas, suggesting that these experiences are positive interictal phenomena and neither an ictal event nor ictal paralysis (Guedj et al., 2010). Although psychic phenomena have important localizing potential, their localizing value in epilepsy surgery patients is limited as they are not true representations of ictal events or ictal onset (Chen et al., 2003). It is presumed that complex underlying networks are involved in eliciting experiential phenomena which usually begin as a limited discharge in a group of neurons that later utilizes strengthened connectivity to re-create a particular experience (Gloor, 1990). In children, experiential phenomena are poorly described, likely due to immaturity of long-term memory storage areas and the child's inability to describe complex feelings.

From the epileptogenic zone to the border territory: involved networks

While a seizure onset zone may manifest as a simple visual or auditory aura, it is more often silent or triggered later in the propagation sequence (David et al., 2010). The dynamic interaction between the seizure onset zone and early involved brain areas, including their electrographic features will determine the semiology of the seizure, including the aura and its localizing value (Chauvel and McGonigal, 2014). By fully describing the entire sequence, from aura to postictal manifestations, the localization of the seizure onset zone becomes a probability analysis within a given network (Chauvel and McGonigal, 2014). SEEG studies may be required to ascertain a more precise analysis of the spatial-temporal propagation of the epileptic seizure in parallel with the semiological sequence (Bartolomei et al., 2017), by implementing the surgical outcome as shown in *figure 2*; the aura representing either part of the seizure onset zone or one of the first activated hubs in the epileptogenic network.

Electrical seizure onset typically (but not always) precedes the aura by seconds to minutes, although many patients do not experience auras during SEEG monitoring (David *et al.*, 2010; Perven and So, 2015). This observation further emphasizes the importance of the aura, if experienced, as part of the seizure onset zone or one of the primary nodes in the propagation sequence in the epileptic seizure.



Figure 1. Localization of auras to seizure onset zone. Olfactory, fear, epigastric, psychic and autonomic auras predominantly localize (\geq 70%) to the mesial temporal region. Similarly, gustatory auras and visual illusions commonly originate from lateral temporal (70%) and occipital cortex (60%), respectively. Remaining auras (simple hallucination, ictal blurring, complex visual hallucination, vestibular, auditory and somatosensory auras) have variable distribution and have poor localizing value (\leq 50%).

 μ - Acharya *et al.*, 1998; \in - Bien *et al.*, 2000; ¶ - Chen *et al.*, 2003; * - Clarke *et al.*, 2003; # - Fish *et al.*, 1993; £ - Fornazzari *et al.*, 1992; s - Hausser-Hauw and Bancaud, 1987; ¥ - La Vega-Talbot *et al.*, 2006; ¢ - Pugnaghi *et al.*, 2011; \int - Russo *et al.*, 2016; ± - Ye *et al.*, 2012.

Psychic manifestations, such as fear or anxiety, are especially challenging to localize anatomically as fear often occurs as a non-specific sensation without an accompanying sensory disturbance. Biraben *et al.* (2001) studied the appearance of early fear in frontal and temporal lobe epilepsy. In two cases of right mesial temporal epilepsy, SEEG recordings identified the electrographic seizure onset in the amygdala 30 to 60 seconds before fear manifested, and concluded that a more widespread network that includes the frontal lobe is required for the expression of fear (Biraben *et al.*, 2001). In four SEEG patients, the aura of fear appeared when electrical seizures propagated to external temporal lobe structures, the orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate.

Auras arising from the frontal lobe are highly variable but their description may provide precise localization of seizure onset. Bonini *et al.* (2014) studied frontal lobe seizures in 54 selected patients, based on clustering their auras with their ictal semiology, and delineated four distinct networks (fronto-parietal, fronto-insular, widespread frontal and fronto-temporal). The authors observed a general tendency for seizures arising from premotor or prefrontal areas to propagate posteriorly, but there was no reverse propagation. The latency between electrographic and clinical seizure onset (either aura or other clinical signs) averaged three seconds (range: 0.5 to 10 seconds). Latencies differed between groups, with the shortest interval occurring in the group without aura who had seizures arising from premotor cortex. Somesthetic localized auras characterized the first group, with manifestations when the electrical seizure reached Broca Area 4. Auras with feelings of fear, anxiety or rage defined the fourth group, when the electrical seizure reached the Broca Areas 14, 24r, 32, amygdala and temporal structures. The second group expressed a non-localized aura, which mostly manifested when the electrical discharge involved the pre-SMA and the Broca Area 14.

Temporal lobe auras that progress to motor convulsions are particularly challenging. Ferri *et al.* (2014) utilized SEEG exploration to examine the role of the



Figure 2. This is the case of a 24-year-old patient with right hippocampal sclerosis and seizures with dizziness and visual blurring as subjective manifestations at seizure onset. He was invasively explored with a temporo-parieto-occipital-targeted SEEG implantation. An epileptogenic index corresponding to low-voltage fast activity and baseline shift is projected on the electrode locations at the seizure onset. At the moment of aura, a coupled activation is observed in the posterior hippocampus, posterior insula and precuneus. The patient underwent a right anterior temporal lobectomy in 2015 and he is currently seizure-free with Engel Class 1A (including auras) and off medication since 2016.

auditory aura in nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy. They showed that seizure freedom occurred when the EZ was accurately localized to the temporal lobe. Propagation to the frontal lobe occurs rapidly in this disorder and the temporal EZ is easily overlooked if the aura is the only indicator of extra-frontal seizure origin. The authors concluded that the origins of the unilateral auditory auras were Heschl's gyrus and the temporal operculum, while no clear origin of the bilateral auditory aura was identified.

Specific temporal lobe auras provide important diagnostic value for seizures with rapid secondary spread. Barba et al. (2007) compared the scalprecorded features of temporal and temporal-plus epilepsy. The type of aura was an important differentiating factor - abdominal auras were associated with purely temporal lobe seizures, while gustatory hallucinations, rotatory vertigo and auditory illusions were primarily associated with temporal-plus seizures. The origin of the abdominal aura, especially when followed in the seizure sequence by oral and/or manual automatisms, is considered to be mesial temporal structures, as previously described (Taylor and Lochery, 1987; Henkel et al., 2002; Barba et al., 2007). Gustatory aura is considered to arise from the opercular cortex and insula (Hauser-Hauw and Bancaud, 1987; Ostrowsky et al., 2000; Isnard et al., 2004; Barba et al., 2007) in seizures beginning in the temporal lobe and insular cortex. Rotatory or vestibular auras are believed to originate from the lateral temporo-parietal area and are the most important manifestation when the seizure onset zone is in the TPO junction (Kahane et al., 2003; Barba et al., 2007).

In contrast to auras of temporal lobe origin, parietal lobe auras are poorly localizing. Recently, Bartolomei et al. (2017) differentiated clinical from electrical parietal seizure networks. They identified four main networks, with some auras assisting in network definition. For example, vestibular auras localized to the superior parietal lobule, whereas somatosensory auras in the contralateral limbs were strongly indicative of parietal network involvement. Fear and anxiety were reported by two parietal lobe patients, reinforcing the anatomically non-specific basis of these symptoms. A small number of patients will describe multiple auras (6% according to Nakken et al. [2009]), either in a sequence during the same seizure, or in different seizures. Insular epilepsy provides the clearest example of multiple auras occurring in a sequence, as insular epilepsy patients frequently describe a throat sensation followed by paresthesia in a delimited territory and elementary auditory hallucinations (Isnard, 2009), according to the hubs in the propagation sequence of the epileptic discharges to the parietal and temporal structures. In a study of 31 patients with multiple auras evaluated in the Cleveland Clinic, most with at least three aura types had a seizure onset zone in the non-dominant hemisphere, particularly the temporal lobe (Widdess-Walsh et al., 2007), a finding confirmed by others (Perven and So, 2015). The authors postulated that the experience of multiple auras strongly suggests localized epileptogenesis as consciousness is fully preserved. In some patients, secondary propagation to the contralateral hemisphere may occur, which will impair consciousness and block recall of the aura (Widdess-Walsh et al., 2007; Perven and So, 2015).

Multiple seizure types with multiple auras are rare indicators of multifocal epilepsy. Invasive recording may be required to distinguish this unique subgroup from patients with unifocal seizure onset and secondary activation sites (Widdess-Walsh *et al.*, 2007). This issue is best studied by direct electrical stimulation utilizing depth or grid electrodes. Protocols using 1-Hz or 50-Hz stimulation can be utilized to define the EZ. Single-pulse electrical stimulation permits the study of aura-generating cortical networks to determine specific patterns and comparisons with normal brain networks (Perven and So, 2015; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2017).

Auras in generalized epilepsy

Although epileptic auras are typically regarded as indicators of focal seizure onset, they are also described by patients with generalized absence and myoclonic epilepsy, and may occur in a similar proportion (21-70%) to focale epilepsies (Boylan et al., 2006; Gungor-Tuncer et al., 2012; Dugan et al., 2014). Common auras in patients with generalized epilepsies include visual auras (flashing lights, macropsia, illusional movement of the environment, blurred vision and ictal blindness), autonomic and dyscognitive symptoms (lapsed awareness, change in speech and thoughts or comprehension), hiccups, epigastric sensation, fear, unusual taste and unexplained changes in emotional state. The appearance of an aura in generalized epilepsy could represent selective focal cortical activation within a generalized network. Some pre-ictal symptoms or auras in generalized epilepsies are caused by repetitive spike-wave discharges prior to motor seizure onset. An accurate electroanatomo-clinical correlation of aura symptomatology in generalized epilepsy would therefore be required to understand their precise localization (Boylan et al., 2006; Gungor-Tuncer et al., 2012; Dugan et al., 2014).

Developmental considerations

Maturation of seizure semiology progresses throughout the pre-adolescent years in parallel to the acquisition of cognitive and behavioral milestones (Fogarasi *et al.*, 2007; Nordli, 2013). Auras are also influenced by maturational factors but are additionally dependent on the child's ability to communicate and relate to previous memories (Working Group of the International Association of the Scientific Study of Intellectual Disability, 2001). Until full language competence is achieved, aura description is unlikely (Fogarasi *et al.*, 2007). Although auras have been described as early as three years of age, descriptions are more reliable after age six years (Fogarasi *et al.*, 2007; Nordli, 2013). Until then, children can only recognize seizure onset if instructed. Their restricted world experience and limited feelings and sensations can yield erroneous aura information (Fontana *et al.*, 2006).

These issues also occur in adults with intellectual deficiency (Verellen and Cavazos, 2011). Auras in the elderly population represent an additional challenge, as multiple comorbidities, minor daily complaints, and cognitive decline may obscure aura manifestations (Verellen and Cavazos, 2011). Because of these issues, a significant number of elderly patients remain undiagnosed with epilepsy for more than a year after seizure onset (DeToledo, 1999; Verellen and Cavazos, 2011). Language impairment or dementia also precludes meaningful description of their aura symptoms (Silveira et al., 2011). Auras in the elderly are thus rarely reported and poorly described; they are also frequently associated with dizziness (Verellen and Cavazos, 2011). Seizures in the elderly may manifest as confusional episodes or prolonged periods of postictal confusion lasting for weeks that make aura description nearly impossible (Cloyd et al., 2006; Silveira et al., 2011; Verellen and Cavazos, 2011; Stefan et al., 2014).

Disclosures.

None of the authors have any conflict of interest to declare.

References

Acharya V, Acharya J, Lüders H. Olfactory epileptic auras. *Neurology* 1998; 51: 56-61.

Andy OJ. The amygdala and hippocampus in olfactory aura. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1967; 23: 292.

Appel S, Sharan AD, Tracy JI, *et al*. A comparison of occipital and temporal lobe epilepsies. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015; 132(4): 284-90.

Baird AD, Wilson SJ, Bladin PF, *et al.* Neurological control of human sexual behaviour: insights from lesion studies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2007; 78(10): 1042-9.

Bancaud J, Talairach J. Clinical semiology of frontal lobe seizures. *Adv Neurol* 1992; 57: 3-58.

Bancaud J, Favel P, Bonis A, et al. Paroxysmal sexual manifestations and temporal lobe epilepsy. Clinical, EEG and SEEG study of a case of epilepsy of tumoral origin. *Rev Neurol* 1970; 123(4): 217-30.

Bancaud J, Brunet-Bourgin F, Chauvel P, Halgren E. Anatomical origin of déjà vu and vivid "memories" in human temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain* 1994; 117(1): 71-90.

Barba C, Barbati G, Minotti L, *et al.* Ictal clinical and scalp-EEG findings differentiating temporal lobe epilepsies from temporal 'plus' epilepsies. *Brain* 2007; 130(7): 1957-67.

Barbeau E, Wendling F, Regis J, *et al.* Recollection of vivid memories after perirhinal region stimulations: synchronization in the theta range of spatially distributed brain areas. *Neuropsychologia* 2005; 43: 1329-37.

Bartolomei F, Barbeau E, Gavaret M, *et al*. Cortical stimulation study of the role of rhinal cortex in déjà vu and reminiscence of memories. *Neurology* 2004; 63: 858-64.

Bartolomei F, Lagarde S, Wendling F, *et al*. Defining epileptogenic networks: contribution of SEEG and signal analysis. *Epilepsia* 2017; 58(7): 1131-47.

Beauvais K, Biraben A, Seigneuret E, *et al.* Subjective signs in premotor epilepsy: confirmation by stereo-electroencephalography. *Epileptic Disord* 2005; 7: 347-54.

Bien CG, Benninger FO, Urbach H, *et al.* Localizing value of epileptic visual auras. *Brain* 2000; 123: 244-53.

Biraben A, Taussig D, Thomas P, *et al*. Fear as the main feature of epileptic seizures. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001; 70: 186-91.

Bonini F, McGonigal A, Trébuchon A, *et al*. Frontal lobe seizures: from clinical semiology to localization. *Epilepsia* 2014; 55(2): 264-77.

Boylan LS, Labovitz DL, Jackson SC, *et al*. Auras are frequent in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Neurology* 2006; 67(2): 343-5.

Calleja J, Carpizo R, Berciano J. Orgasmic epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 1988; 29: 635-9.

Carmant L, Carrazana E, Kramer U, *et al*. Pharyngeal dysesthesia as an aura in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 1996; 37(9): 911-3.

Carreno M, Lüders HO. General principles of presurgical evaluation. In: Lüders HO. *Textbook of epilepsy surgery*. London: Boca Raton, 2008: 432-42.

Chauvel P, McGonigal A. Emergence of semiology in epileptic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* 2014; 38: 94-103.

Chen C, Shih YH, Yen DJ, *et al*. Olfactory auras in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2003; 44(2): 257-60.

Clarke DF, Otsubo H, Weiss SK, *et al.* The significance of ear plugging in localization-related epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2003; 44(12): 1562-7.

Cloyd J, Hauser W, Towne A, *et al*. Epidemiological and medical aspects of epilepsy in the elderly. *Epilepsy Res* 2006; 68(1): S39-48.

David O, Bastin J, Chabardès S, et al. Studying network mechanisms using intracranial stimulation in epileptic patients. *Front Syst Neurosci* 2010; 4: 148.

DeToledo JC. Changing presentation of seizures with aging: clinical and etiological factors. *Gerontology* 1999;45(6): 329-35.

Dugan P, Carlson C, Bluvstein J, *et al.* Auras in generalized epilepsy. *Neurology* 2014; 83(16): 1444-9.

Ferrari-Marinho T, Caboclo LO, Marinho MM, et al. Auras in temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis: relation to seizure focus laterality and post surgical outcome. *Epilepsy Behav* 2012; 24: 120-5.

Ferri L, Bisulli F, Nobili L, *et al.* Auditory aura in nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy: a red flag to suspect an extra-frontal epileptogenic zone. *Sleep Medicine* 2014; 15(11): 1417-23.

Fish DR, Gloor P, Quesney FL, Olivier A. Clinical responses to electrical brain stimulation of the temporal and frontal lobes in patients with epilepsy: pathophysiological implications. *Brain* 1993; 116: 397-414.

Florindo I, Bisulli F, Pittau F, *et al*. Lateralizing value of the auditory aura in partial seizures. *Epilepsia* 2006; 47(5):68-72.

Fogarasi A, Tuxhorn I, Janszky J, *et al*. Age-dependent seizure semiology in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2007; 48(9): 1697-702.

Fontana E, Negrini F, Francione S, *et al.* Temporal lobe epilepsy in children: electroclinical study of 77 cases. *Epilepsia* 2006; 47(15): 26-30.

Fornazzari L, Farcnik K, Smith I, *et al*. Violent visual hallucinations and aggression in frontal lobe dysfunction: clinical manifestations of deep orbitofrontal foci. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1992; 4: 42-4.

Fried I, Spencer DD, Spencer SS. The anatomy of epileptic auras: focal pathology and surgical outcome. *J Neurosurg* 1995; 83: 60-6.

Gloor P. Experiential phenomena of temporal lobe epilepsy. Facts and hypotheses. *Brain* 1990; 113(6): 1673-94.

Gloor P, Olivier A, Quesney LF, *et al*. The role of the limbic system in experiential phenomena of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Ann Neurol* 1982; 12: 129-44.

Guedj E, Aubert S, McGonigal A, *et al*. Déjà-vu in temporal lobe epilepsy: metabolic pattern of cortical involvement in patients with normal brain MRI. *Neuropsychologia* 2010; 48(7): 2174-81.

Gungor-Tuncer O, Baykan B, Altindag E, *et al*. Prevalence and characteristics of visual aura in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2012; 25(4): 573-6.

Gupta AK, Jeavons PM, Hughes RC, Covanis A. Aura in temporal lobe epilepsy: clinical and electroencephalographic correlation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1983; 46: 1079-83.

Hausser-Hauw C, Bancaud J. Gustatory hallucinations in epileptic seizures. Electrophysiological, clinical and anatomical correlates. *Brain* 1987; 110(2): 339-59.

Henkel A, Noachtar S, Pfander M, Lüders HO. The localizing value of the abdominal aura and its evolution: a study in focal epilepsies. *Neurology* 2002; 58: 271-6.

Iannotti GR, Grouiller F, Centeno M, et al. Epileptic networks are strongly connected with and without the effects of interictal discharges. *Epilepsia* 2016; 57(7): 1086-96.

Isnard J. Insular epilepsy: a model of cryptic epilepsy. The Lyon experience. *Rev Neurol (Paris)* 2009; 165(10): 746-9.

Isnard J, Guenot M, Sindou M, Mauguiere F. Clinical manifestations of insular lobe seizures: a stereoelectroencephalographic study. *Epilepsia* 2004; 45: 1079-90.

Kahane P, Hoffmann D, Minotti L, Berthoz A. Reappraisal of the human vestibular cortex by cortical electrical stimulation study. *Ann Neurol* 2003; 54: 615-24.

Kellinghaus C, Lüders HO. The symptomatogenic zone: general principles. In: Lüders HO. *Textbook of epilepsy surgery*. London: Boca Raton, 2008: 425-31. Kotagal P, Lüders HO, Williams G, et al. Psychomotor seizures of temporal lobe onset: analysis of symptom clusters and sequences. *Epilepsy Res* 1995; 20: 49-67.

La Vega-Talbot M, Duchowny M, Jayakar P. Orbitofrontal seizures presenting with ictal visual hallucinations and interictal psychosis. *Pediatr Neurol* 2006; 35: 78-81.

Lesser RP, Lüders H, Klem G, et al. Cortical after discharge and functional response thresholds: results of extraoperative testing. *Epilepsia* 1984; 25: 615-21.

Lim SH, Dinner DS, Pillay PK, *et al.* Functional anatomy of the human supplementary sensorimotor area: results of extraoperative electrical stimulation. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1994; 91: 179-93.

Loddenkemper T, Kotagal P. Lateralizing signs during seizures in focal epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2005; 7(1): 1-17.

Matsumoto R, Kunieda T, Nair D. Single pulse electrical stimulation to probe functional and pathological connectivity in epilepsy. *Seizure* 2017; 44: 27-36.

Mauguiere F, Courjon J. Somatosensory epilepsy. A review of 127 cases. *Brain* 1978; 101: 307-32.

Millonig A, Bodner T, Donnemiller E, *et al.* Supernumerary phantom limb as a rare symptom of epileptic seizures: case report and literature review. *Epilepsia* 2011; 52(8): 97-100.

Montavont A, Maugière F, Mazzola L, et al. On the origin of painful somatosensory seizures. *Neurology* 2015; 84: 594-601.

Nordli DR. Varying seizure semiology according to age. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2013; 111: 455-60.

Nakken KO, Solaas MH, Kjeldsen MJ, Friis ML, Pellock JM, Corey LA. The occurrence and characteristics of auras in a large epilepsy cohort. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2009; 119(2): 88-93.

Scalaidhe SP, Wilson FA, Goldman-Rakic PS. Areal segregation of face-processing neurons in prefrontal cortex. *Science* 1997; 278: 1135-8.

Ostrowsky K, Isnard J, Ryvlin P, *et al.* Functional mapping of the insular cortex: clinical implication in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2000; 41: 681-6.

Palmini A, Gloor P. The localizing value of auras in partial seizures: a prospective and retrospective study. *Neurology* 1992; 42: 801-8.

Penfield W, Kristiansen K. *Epileptic seizure patterns*. Spring-field: Thomas, 1951.

Perven G, So NK. Epileptic auras: phenomenology and neurophysiology. *Epileptic Disord* 2015; 17(4): 349-62.

Pugnaghi M, Meletti S, Castana L, *et al.* Features of somatosensory manifestations induced by intracranial electrical stimulations of the human insula. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2011; 122: 2049-58.

Remillard GM, Andermann F, Testa GF, *et al.* Sexual ictal manifestations predominate in women with temporal lobe epilepsy: a finding suggesting sexual dimorphism in the human brain. *Neurology* 1983; 33: 323-30.

Richer F, Martinez M, Cohen H, Saint-Hilaire JM. Visual motion perception from stimulation of the human medial parieto-occipital cortex. *Exp Brain Res* 1991; 87: 649-52.

Russo A, Jayakar P, Miller P, Bathia S, Duchowny M. A 16-yearold girl with focal seizures and impaired awareness: divergent non-invasive data related to a diffuse epileptogenic network. *Epileptic Disord* 2016; 18(2): 187-94.

Salanova V, Morris HH, Van Ness P, et al. Frontal lobe seizures: electroclinical syndromes. *Epilepsia* 1995; 36: 16-24.

Scheffer IE, Berkovic S, Capovilla G, *et al.* ILAE classification of the epilepsies: position paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. *Epilepsia* 2017; 58(4): 512-21.

Silveira DC, Jehi L, Chapin J, *et al.* Seizure semiology and aging. *Epilepsy Behav* 2011; 20(2): 375-7.

So EL. Value and limitations of seizure semiology in localizing seizure onset. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2006; 23(4): 353-7.

Spencer D. Auras are frequent in patients with generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsy Curr* 2015; 15(2): 75-7.

Stefan H, Pauli E, Kerling F, *et al*. Autonomic auras: left hemispheric predominance of epileptic generators of cold shivers and goose bumps? *Epilepsia* 2002; 43(1): 41-5.

Stefan H, May TW, Pfäfflin M, et al. Epilepsy in the elderly: comparing clinical characteristics with younger patients. Acta Neurol Scand 2014; 129(5): 283-93.

Stephani C, Fernandez-Baca Vaca G, Maciunas R, *et al*. Functional neuroanatomy of the insular lobe. *Brain Struct Funct* 2011; 216: 137-49.

Taylor DC, Lochery M. Temporal lobe epilepsy: origin and significance of simple and complex auras. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1987; 50: 673-81.

Tufenkjian K, Lüders HO. Seizure semiology: its value and limitations in localizing the epilptogenic zone. *J Clin Neurol* 2012; 8(4): 243-50.

Ungerleider LG, Courtney SM, Haxby JV. A neural system for human visual working memory. *PNAS* 1998; 95: 883-90.

Verellen RM, Cavazos JE. Pathophysiological considerations of seizures, epilepsy, and status epilepticus in the elderly. *Aging Dis* 2011; 2(4): 278-85.

Vignal JP, Maillard L, McGonigal A, Chauvel P. The dreamy state: hallucinations of autobiographic memory evoked by temporal lobe stimulations and seizures. *Brain* 2007; 130: 88-99.

Widdess-Walsh P, Kotagal P, Jeha L, Wu G, Burgess R. Multiple auras: clinical significance and pathophysiology. *Neurology* 2007; 69(8): 755-61.

Wilson FA, Scalaidhe SP, Goldman-Rakic PS. Dissociation of object and spatial processing domains in primate prefrontal cortex. *Science* 1993; 260: 1955-8.

Working Group of the International Association of the Scientific Study of Intellectual Disability, 2001 Clinical guidelines for the management of epilepsy in adults with an intellectual disability. *Seizure* 2001; 10(6): 401-9.

Ye BS, Cho YJ, Jang SH, *et al*. The localizing and lateralizing value of auras in lesional partial epilepsy patients. *Yonsei Med J* 2012; 53: 477-85.



(1) What is an epileptic aura?

(2) Why is it difficult to notice an aura during childhood age?

(3) What is the meaning of an aura in generalized epilepsy?

Note: Reading the manuscript provides an answer to all questions. Correct answers may be accessed on the website, www.epilepticdisorders.com, under the section "The EpiCentre".